Original Research Article

# Factors Associated With Quality of Life of Senior Citizens Residing in Tarakeshwor Municipality, Kathmandu

Raj Devi Adhikari<sup>1</sup>, Uma Devi Ranjitkar<sup>2</sup>, Ambika Chand<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Associate Professor, Maharajgunj Nursing Campus, Kathmandu <sup>3</sup>Lecturer, Maharajgunj Nursing Campus, Kathmandu

Corresponding Author: Raj Devi Adhikari

#### ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** Globally, identifying factors associated with quality of life of senior citizens have become a public health concern. Quality of life (QoL) of senior citizen is affected by many factors associated with age related changes in physical in health status, psychological status and changing social role in family. Thus, this study aims to identify factors associated with QoL of senior citizens.

**Methodology:** This study followed descriptive cross sectional research design. Four hundred sixty two senior citizens aged 70 and above, residing in Tarakeshwor Municipality, Kathmandu was included in a study by using non-probability purposive sampling technique. Data was collected from December 25<sup>th</sup> 2017 to March 23<sup>rd</sup> 2018 by team members of faculty research through individual interview method by using pretested structured interview guideline in Nepali version. Data was analyzed by using descriptive statistics and inferential statistics specifically Chi square and Pearson's correlation coefficient tests.

**Results:** This study findings shows that most of the senior citizens were self-dependent on their activities of daily living. Likewise, most of them had good relation with spouses, children, and grand children, family as well as with friends and neighbours. Beside this majority of them had never experienced physical torture, insecurity; humiliation, neglect, sadness, stress where as more than two third experienced loneliness and boredom. Nearly half of them had some level of depression. On overall score of quality of life (QoL) revealed that More than four fifth of senior citizens had at fair level of QoL.

Factors associated with low QoL of senior citizens were demographical factors such as increased age, female sex, living alone low, education and economic status. Also status of dependency on performing moderate household activities of daily living. Also findings revealed negative relationship between increased level of depression and QoL score.

**Conclusion:** Overall quality of life was fair among these senior citizens. These identified factors as increased age, female sex, living alone, low education and economic status, dependency on performing moderate household activities of daily living and relationship between depression and QoL need to be highly considered while planning health program for senior citizens in Nepalese context from concern authorities.

Key words: Factors associated, Quality of life, Senior Citizen

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Aging, along with the physical and psychological functional decline or disability, economic dependence, and social cut off, autonomy of young generation, compromises QoL of senior citizens. Globally, QoL among elderly is an important area of concern which reflects the health status and well-being of this population. <sup>(1)</sup> QoL is affected by the many demanding situations and associated with ageing related factors that are changing in health status, coping with new restrictions in life, identifying new roles, opportunities and

ISSN: 2249-9571

available social support.<sup>(2)</sup> Studies have revealed that gender, education age, financial dependency, ethnicity, social status, feeling of loneliness and social supports also affects QoL of senior citizens. QoL was found to be better among married elderly than single; better among elderly without physical, mental health problems; psychosocial issues and their functional status. <sup>(3)</sup> Increased functional dependency, morbidities, chronic illness, loneliness, low education and poor economic condition result in poor mental health among senior citizens. <sup>(4,5)</sup>

Elderly people are highly prone to mental morbidities due to aging of the brain, multiple physical health problems, cerebral pathology, decreasing family supports and economic dependency. <sup>(6)</sup> Older people with depression loss social harmony, struggle in managing their self care activities and their QoL deteriorates considerably. <sup>(7)</sup> National level research study has emphasized for promoting health situation and improving QoL of senior citizens. <sup>(8)</sup> Therefore, this study aims to identify the factors associated with QoL of senior citizens is considered importance.

# **METHODOLOGY**

Descriptive cross-sectional study design was adopted. The population of the study was all senior citizens age 70 and above residing in Tarakeshwor Municipality of Kathmandu. Likewise, 462 senior citizens were included as a sample by using non- probability purposive sampling method. Sample size was calculated by referring sample P= 68.5%.<sup>(9)</sup>

After getting formal approval from University Grants Commission as a faculty research grant, ethical clearance was obtained from Institutional Review Board of Institute of Medicine, Kathmandu. After obtaining written approval from authority of Tarakeshwor Municipality, data was collected from 25<sup>th</sup> December 2017 to 23<sup>rd</sup> March 2018. Written consent was obtained from each respondent. Respondent's right to voluntarily informed consent, withdrawal

from the study at any time without giving reason were considered. Data was collected through interview method. All members of faculty research collected data by using face face interview schedule. Pretested to interview schedule in Nepali version were used to collect data. Questionnaire was consisted of socio-demographic characteristics; status of family and relation, social status relations; perceived physical health status of the respondents as well as Katz index of independence in activities of daily living scores of 6 items questions; <sup>(10)</sup> psychological status assessing by short form of geriatric depression scale of 15 items of total scores of 15 questions, <sup>(11)</sup> and 24 items of total scores of 120 quality of life of senior citizen questionnaire developed for World Health Organization. <sup>(12)</sup> During data collection privacy was maintained by taking interview in separate room and corners of the home setting. Confidentiality was maintained by using code number in each form and also respondents were assured that the information they provide would be used for the research purpose only.

The collected data was entered into SPSS version 16 and were analyzed by using descriptive statistics and inferential statistics in which Pearson Chi square test was used to measure the statistical association between selected variables and relationship between depression and QoL was assessed by applying Correlation Coefficient test. <sup>(13)</sup>

### **RESULTS**

# Sociodemographic Status of the Senior Citizens

This study revealed that 77.9% of senior citizens were belonged to 70-80 years of age, the males /females ratio is about 1:1, 68.6% were married and living with spouse and 80.7% were unable to read and write. Similarly, 65.8% of their individuals or family income was sufficient for 6 months. More than half (52.4%) of them were ever or currently cigarette smoker and 45.7% were ever or currently alcohol drinkers.

#### Status of Relationship of Senior Citizens with Family Members and Society

This study findings show that on social factors related to QOL 74.7% and 83% of them had good relation with children and grandchildren respectively. Besides these. 80.1% had good communication within family. Most of them experienced physical never torture, insecurity, humiliation, neglect sadness and majority stress where as of them experienced loneliness and boredom within one month of data collection. Likewise, 81.2% and 77.1% of them had good relation their friends and neighbors with respectively. Most of times, they visited their relatives (77.7%) and participated in religious activities (64.7%). Only, 39.8% involved social in gathering, 8.9% participated in clubs and 7.8% in volunteer activities.

<u>Table 1</u> shows that 77.1% of respondents had good relationship with their neighbor. Similarly, 81.2% had good relationship with their friends as 18.2% had neither good nor bad and 0.6% had. Regarding social participation, 77.7%, 64.7% and 39.8% of the respondents most of times involved in visiting relatives, participated in religious activities and involved in social gathering respectively. Only 8.9% and 7.8% of them participated in clubs and volunteer activities respectively.

TABLE: 1 Status of Social Relations and Participation of the Respondents N=462

Status of RespondentsFrequencyPercentageRelation with neighbors	Respondents N = 402		
Good35677.1Neither good nor poor9420.3Poor122.6Relation with friends	Status of Respondents	Frequency	Percentage
Neither good nor poor         94         20.3           Poor         12         2.6           Relation with friends	Relation with neighbors		
Poor         12         2.6           Relation with friends         2           Good         375         81.2           Neither good nor poor         84         18.2           Poor         3         0.6           Visiting relatives         7           Most of times         359         77.7           Sometimes         74         16.0           Never         29         6.3           Participate in religious activities         7           Most of times         299         64.7           Sometimes only         149         32.3           Never         14         3.0           Participate in social gathering         7           Most of times         184         39.8           Sometimes only         249         53.9           Never         29         6.3           Participate in club/Civic groups         7           Most of times         41         8.9           Sometimes only         91         19.7           Never         330         71.4           Participate in volunteer activities         7.8           Most of times         36         7.8           So	Good	356	77.1
Relation with friendsImage: Constraint of the second state of	Neither good nor poor	94	20.3
Good         375         81.2           Neither good nor poor         84         18.2           Poor         3         0.6           Visiting relatives	Poor	12	2.6
Neither good nor poor         84         18.2           Poor         3         0.6           Visiting relatives	Relation with friends		
Poor30.6Visiting relativesMost of times35977.7Sometimes7416.0Never296.3Participate in religious activitiesMost of times29964.7Sometimes only14932.3Never143.0Participate in social gatheringMost of times18439.8Sometimes only24953.9Never296.3Participate in club/Civic groupsMost of times418.9Sometimes only9119.7Never33071.4Participate in volunteer activitiesMost of times367.8Sometimes only14631.6	Good	375	81.2
Poor30.6Visiting relativesMost of times35977.7Sometimes7416.0Never296.3Participate in religious activitiesMost of times29964.7Sometimes only14932.3Never143.0Participate in social gatheringMost of times18439.8Sometimes only24953.9Never296.3Participate in club/Civic groupsMost of times418.9Sometimes only9119.7Never33071.4Participate in volunteer activitiesMost of times367.8Sometimes only14631.6	Neither good nor poor	84	18.2
Most of times         359         77.7           Sometimes         74         16.0           Never         29         6.3           Participate in religious activities		3	0.6
Sometimes7416.0Never296.3Participate in religious activitiesMost of times29964.7Sometimes only14932.3Never143.0Participate in social gatheringMost of times18439.8Sometimes only24953.9Never296.3Participate in club/Civic groupsMost of times418.9Sometimes only9119.7Never33071.4Participate in volunteer activitiesMost of times367.8Sometimes only14631.6	Visiting relatives		
Never         29         6.3           Participate in religious activities            Most of times         299         64.7           Sometimes only         149         32.3           Never         14         3.0           Participate in social gathering             Most of times         184         39.8           Sometimes only         249         53.9           Never         29         6.3           Participate in club/Civic groups             Most of times         41         8.9           Sometimes only         91         19.7           Never         330         71.4           Participate in volunteer activities            Most of times         36         7.8           Sometimes only         146         31.6	Most of times	359	77.7
Participate in religious activities99Most of times29964.7Sometimes only14932.3Never143.0Participate in social gathering90Most of times18439.8Sometimes only24953.9Never296.3Participate in club/Civic groups91Most of times418.9Sometimes only9119.7Never33071.4Participate in volunteer activities7.8Most of times367.8Sometimes only14631.6	Sometimes	74	16.0
Most of times         299         64.7           Sometimes only         149         32.3           Never         14         3.0           Participate in social gathering	Never	29	6.3
Sometimes only         149         32.3           Never         14         3.0           Participate in social gathering	Participate in religious activities		
Never143.0Participate in social gathering	Most of times	299	64.7
Participate in social gatheringMost of times18439.8Sometimes only24953.9Never296.3Participate in club/Civic groupsMost of times418.9Sometimes only9119.7Never33071.4Participate in volunteer activitiesMost of times367.8Sometimes only14631.6	Sometimes only	149	32.3
Most of times18439.8Sometimes only24953.9Never296.3Participate in club/Civic groups91Most of times418.9Sometimes only9119.7Never33071.4Participate in volunteer activities96Most of times367.8Sometimes only14631.6	Never	14	3.0
Sometimes only24953.9Never296.3Participate in club/Civic groupsMost of times418.9Sometimes only9119.7Never33071.4Participate in volunteer activitiesMost of times367.8Sometimes only14631.6	Participate in social gathering		
Never296.3Participate in club/Civic groups8.9Most of times418.9Sometimes only9119.7Never33071.4Participate in volunteer activities7.8Most of times367.8Sometimes only14631.6	Most of times	184	39.8
Participate in club/Civic groupsImage: Comparison of timesImage: Comparison of timesMost of times only9119.7Never33071.4Participate in volunteer activitiesImage: Comparison of times36Most of times367.8Sometimes only14631.6	Sometimes only	249	53.9
Most of times418.9Sometimes only9119.7Never33071.4Participate in volunteer activities71.4Most of times367.8Sometimes only14631.6	Never	29	6.3
Sometimes only9119.7Never33071.4Participate in volunteer activities70.8Most of times367.8Sometimes only14631.6	Participate in club/Civic groups		
Never33071.4Participate in volunteer activities71.4Most of times367.8Sometimes only14631.6	Most of times	41	8.9
Never33071.4Participate in volunteer activities71.4Most of times367.8Sometimes only14631.6	Sometimes only	91	19.7
Most of times367.8Sometimes only14631.6		330	71.4
Sometimes only 146 31.6	Participate in volunteer activities		
	Most of times	36	7.8
	Sometimes only	146	31.6
		280	60.6

TABLE 2: Physical, Psychological and QoL Status of the Respondents N=462

Status	Number	Percent
Self reported Physical status		
One or more physical problems	450	97.4
Psychological status**		
No depression	244	52.8
Mild depression	140	30.4
Moderate depression	39	8.4
Severe depression	39	8.4
Quality of Life #		
Fair	375	81.2
High	80	17.3
Low	7	1.5

# WHOOoL-Old Scores: low (24-55), fair (56-88) and high (89-120)  $^{(24)}$ ; \*\*Score based on short form GDS  $^{(13)}$ 

 TABLE 3: Association between QoL and selected Sociodemographic Variables
 N = 462

Variables	Quality of life Scores		Scores	Chi square value	P value
	Low	Fair	High	_	
Age in years					
70-80	3	288	69	8.502	0.014*
81and above	4	87	11		
Sex					
Male	2	174	56	16.021	0.000*
Female	5	201	24		
Marital status					
Alone	6	123	16	36.912	0.000*
Living with spouse	1	252	64		
Income					
Less than 6 month	5	45	2		0.000*
Upto 6 months	2	255	47		
Upto one year	0	75	31		
Education					
Not able to read and write	6	317	49	20.039	0.000*
Able to read and write	1	58	31		
Use of Alcohol					
Never drink	5	192	54	14.834	0.005*
Drank	2	183	26		
(*P<0.05,	significa	nt at 959	% Confid	ence Level)	

Table 2 depicts that almost all of the respondents had one or more self reported physical problems. Regarding depression, 30.4% had mild; remaining 8.4% had moderate and severe depression respectively. Likewise, 81.2% of them had fair level of QoL where as 17.3% and 1.5% had high and low level respectively.

<u>Table 3</u> shows that there is significant statistical association between QoL and sex, age, marital status, economic status, education and alcohol drinking habit (P < 0.05).

 TABLE
 4: Association
 between
 Respondents'
 QoL
 &

 Moderate
 Activities of Daily Livings and Comorbid Status

Variables	Quality of life Scores			P value
	Low	Fair	High	
Moderate activities				
Walking in stairs				
Independence	2	296	77	0.000*
With support	4	51	2	
Unable	1	28	1	
Performing simple activities				
Most of household activities	5	259	70	0.007*
Few activities	0	55	7	
Unable	2	61	3	
Co-morbid Status				
None	0	11	1	0.238
1-3 health problems	0	34	11	
4 or more than 4 health	7	330	68	
problems				

(\*P<0.05, significant at 95% Confidence Level)

<u>Table 4</u> shows that there is statistically significant association between QoL and ability to perform moderate activities with value (P<0.000).

TABLE 5: Relationship of QoL with Depression of theRespondents

Depression	Interrelation	Quality of Life Score
Depression	Correlation Coefficient	579**
	(r)	
	P value	0.000

n=462

\*\*Pearson correlation coefficient

<u>Table 5</u> shows significant interrelation-ship of QoL of the respondents with depression where depression is -.579 negatively interrelated with QoL of the respondents. These relationships are statistically significant (p value= < 0.001).

#### DISCUSSIONS

#### Physical, Psychological Status and Quality of Life of Senior Citizens

Almost all (97.8%) were suffering from more than one self reported physical problems. This finding is supported by study done in Kathmandu among elderly which showed that 93.9% of older adults had some health problems. <sup>(14)</sup> Few other studies-conducted in Health Status, Family Relation and Living Condition of Elderly People Residing in Geriatric Homes of Western Nepal shows 84.1%; another studies done in Varanasi district on health status of elderly population in rural area and in Shikla Hills of India on morbidity pattern and health-seeking behavior of aged population of India showed that 88.8% and 84% of them suffered from at least one medical problems respectively. (15-17)

This study showed that 47.2% of the senior citizens had depression among which 30.0% mild, 8.4% moderate and 8.4% severe respectively. This finding is supported by a study done in Nepal which showed that 53.2% older adults had depression. <sup>(18)</sup> One of the study conducted in Kathmandu, on prevalence of depressive symptoms and its associated factors in older adults showed that 60.6% of the senior citizen had some form depression in which 27.7%, 21.1% and 11.8% of them had mild, moderate and severe depression respectively. <sup>(19)</sup> The greater prevalence of mild depression relative to severe depression is consistent with findings from other studies conducted across the globe. However, one of the studies done in Kathmandu among elderly showed that only 29.7% had depression, in which 24.2% had mild and 5.5% had severe depression. <sup>(14)</sup> This different might be due to different in study population as mention author had conducted study among only ethnic group that is Rai elderly people. Likewise, a study done in Pakistan on Depression in the elderly showed that 19.8% had depression. <sup>(20)</sup> Several studies done in India, revealed that the prevalence of depression among older adults varies from 6% to 53.7%. (21-23) This difference in

the prevalence with this study might be due to the different instruments used the same instrument as our study to detect depression, also showed lower figure.

Concerning QoL, most of them (81.2%) of the senior citizens had fair level, 17.3% had high and 1.5% had low level of QoL. This is consistent with the study done in a Phayao Province, Thailand that showed 68.5% had QoL at fair level, followed by high (29.5%) and low level (2%). <sup>(9)</sup> Similarly, the another study done in the Northeast of Thailand revealed that 79% of the senior citizens had fair level, followed by high (10.5%) and low level (10.5%) of QoL. <sup>(24)</sup>

# Factors associated Quality of Life of Senior Citizens

This study shows the statistically significant association between QoL and age of the senior citizens (P= 0.014). This result is supported by the hospital based study carried out in Iran among 212 elderly which showed significant association with QoL and their age (P=0.01). <sup>(25)</sup>

Likewise, this study finding shows the significant differences between QoL of senior citizens and sex, males had high level of QoL than females (p = 0.000). Similarly, the study done in Tabriz, Iran on Assessing the QoL in Elderly People and Related Factors in Tabriz, Iran showed that males had high scores than females (p<0.001). <sup>(26)</sup> In contrary, study by done in Slovakia on determinants of QoL among the elderly did not show significant relationship between QoL and sex. <sup>(27)</sup>

Similarly, this study showed significant association between QoL and marital status (P=0.000) in which QoL is high in married elderly, living with spouse. Supporting this finding, research conducted in old age homes and community and associated factors in India showed that QoL is high in married and low in separated individuals. <sup>(1)</sup> This study result showed that QoL is high in people who had their economic status sufficient for upto 6 month. Supporting this finding, research conducted in India showed QoL is more in middle than low or high socioeconomic status. <sup>(1)</sup>

This study showed statistically significant association between OoL of senior citizens and education (P= 0.000). Supporting this finding by the cross sectional study in Finland, Poland and Spain showed that lower educational levels were associated diminished OoL with as compared to having high school or academic degrees. <sup>(28)</sup> Similarly, this study finding shows the statistically significant association between QoL and drinking habit (P=0.005). However, cross-sectional study done in Finland, Poland and Spain on Determinants of Quality of Life in Ageing Populations showed that moderate alcohol consumption was associated to better OoL as compared to being abstainer.<sup>(28)</sup>

There is statistically significant association between QoL and ability to perform moderate activities. The studies conducted in Tabriz, Iran on self-rated quality of life of city-dwelling elderly people benefitting from social help: results of a cross-sectional study <sup>(26)</sup> and another study done on chronic conditions, disability and quality of life in older adults with multimorbidity in Spain showed that quality of life senior citizens was twice as low in the elderly with functional disability. <sup>(29)</sup>

This study finding shows that there statistically significant association is between QoL and their relation with spouse, children, grandchildren and communication within family (P value <0.05). Similarly, this study depicts that there is statistically significant association between QoL and experiencing insecurity, boredom. loneliness, stress, neglect, sadness. humiliation and physical torture from the family environment within 1 month of the respondents.

This study shows the statistically significant association between QoL and relation with neighbors and friends (p value 0.000). Similarly, there is statistically significant association between their QoL and social participation (p value 0.000). One of the studies done in Myanmar showed that

family relationships and social support were significantly related to QoL of senior citizens. <sup>(30)</sup> Another study done in Turkey found that disability was associated with QoL of elderly in social participation, getting around and life activities. <sup>(31)</sup>

This study findings showed that was not statistically significant there association between QoL and physical problem. The reason may be QoL is not dependent solely on chronic illness and other factors may involve, such as illness acceptance. Kurpas and colleagues pointed out that illness acceptance are strongly related to age and QoL when considering chronic conditions. High level of acceptance in turn, enhances self-reliance and selfesteem and creates the ability to cope with chronic disease and its treatment. <sup>(32)</sup> In contrast with the result of this study, one of the study done in Tabriz, Iran done on assessing the QoL in elderly people related factors showed significant difference between QoL and diseases background (P<0.001).<sup>(26)</sup> This study finding showed that there was a adverse relationship between depression and QoL where if depression score is increased by 1 point then OoL will be decreased by 0.579 points (p value= < 0.001). This finding is supported by several international studies <sup>(29-31, 33-35)</sup> as the presence of symptoms of depression negatively affected QoL of seniors. So, depression has become a very serious and problem among them aging, contributing significantly reduction in QoL.

# CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Overall QoL of senior citizens is fair. Most of the senior citizens were selfdependent on daily living activities; had good relation with spouse; children; and grandchildren and had good communication within the family. Likewise, majority of them had never experienced physical torture, insecurity; humiliation, neglect, sadness, stress where as more than two third of them experienced loneliness and boredom. Almost half of them had depression. There is negative relationship between depression and QoL. Depression is one of the factors of poor QoL among senior citizens.

Factors associated with low QoL among senior citizens are increased age, female sex, living alone, low family income, less education, alcohol consumption and dependent status of performing moderate activities.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was funded by the University Grants Commission (UGC), Nepal, under the Faculty Research Grant 2015 (2072/073), awarded to Raj Devi Adhikari (Principal Investigator), Uma Devi Ranjitkar (Co-Investigator) and Ambika Chand (Co-Investigator). They also expressed acknowledge to the former Campus Chief Professor Nira Pandey, MNC Maharajgunj, authorities of Tarakeshwor municipality, Dr. Ramesh Kadel, Prof. Takma KC, Dr Archana Pandey Bista, Bibhav Adhikari and Nabina Paneru and finally the all respondents who voluntarily to participated in this study.

### Limitations

Due to resource and time constraints, the study sample was drawn from only one municipality of Kathmandu District; as such the sample may not fully represent the elderly population of Nepal. Another caveat to this study is that the physical and depressive symptoms were reported by older adults may not necessarily equate to a clinical diagnosis of physical problems or depressive disorders. Therefore, there is a risk of incorrectly attributing reports of diagnosed physical problems or depressive symptomatology to actual clinical physical and mental health problems, leading to potentially an overestimation of the 'true' prevalence of physical problems and depression in this group. Similarly, the quality of life of senior citizens was measured by self reported verbal responses on the basis of items developed by WHOQOLwhich depend upon respondents' OLD, subjective information. Finally, due to the cross sectional design of the study, this limits definitive inferences of causal relationships between quality of life of senior citizens and the various variables.

#### REFERENCES

- Rayirala A, Nallapaneni NR, Bhogaraju A, Mandadi GD. A cross sectional comparative study assessing the quality of life in elderly living in old age homes and community and association of various factors with quality of life in Telangana. Journal of Psychiatry. 2016: 2 (1): 48-53.
- Gurkova E. Hodnoceni. Kvality zivota, Pro klinickou praxi a osetrovatelsky vyskum. Praha: Grada Publishing in Czech. 2011.
- 3. Dragomirecka E, Prajsova J. WHOQoL-OLD. Praha: Psychiaticke Centrum Praha in Czech. 2009.
- Farzianpour F, Hosseini Sh, Rostami M, Pordanjani Sh B, Hosseini SM. Quality of life of the elderly residents. Am J Applied Sci. 2012: 9 (1): 71-74.
- Chalise HN, Saito T, Kai I. Functional disability in activities of daily living and instrumental activities of daily living among Neplese Newar elderly. Public Health 1. 2008: 122 (4): 394-6.
- 6. Khandelwal SK, Dey AB (Ed). Mental health of older people. Aging in India. Situational analysis and planning for the future. New Delhi: Rakmo Press: 2003.
- Bakar N, Asilar R H. Factors Affecting Depression and Quality of Life in the Elderly. Gerontology and Geriatric Research. 2015: 4-5. Available in http://dox.doi.org/10.4172/2167/7182.10002 49
- 8. Parker S, Pant B. Longevity Nepal: Health, Policy and Service Provision Challenges. England: University of Liverpool: 2012.
- Hongthong D, Somrongthong R, Ward P. Factors Influencing the Quality of Life among Thai Older People in a Rural Area of Thailand. Iran J Public Health. April 2015: 44: 479-485.
- 10. Katz S, Ford AB, Moskowitz et al. Studies of illness in the aged: The index of Activities of Daily Living-a standardized measure of biological and psychological function. Journal of American Medical Association. 1963: 185: 914-919.
- Sheikh JI, Yesavage JA. Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS). Recent evidence and development of a shorter version. In T.L. Brink (Ed.), Clinical Gerontology: A Guide to Assessment and Intervention. New York: The Haworth Press, Inc. 1986: 165-173.

- Power M, Quinn K, Schmielt S. Group development of WHOQOL-OLD Module. Qua.Lif. Res. 2005: 14 (10): 2197-2214.
- Kothari, CR. Research Methodology Methods and Techniques. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. India: New Age International Pvt Ltd, 2008: 55-67
- Chalise HN, Rai SL. Prevalence and correlates of depression among Nepalese Rai older adults. Journal of Gerontology & Geriatric Research. 2013: 2 (4):1-5.
- 15. Kaphle HP, Parajuli D, Subedi S, Neupane N, Gupta N, Jain V. Health Status, Family Relation and Living Condition of Elderly People Residing in Geriatric Homes of Western Nepal. International Journal of Health Sciences and Research. 2014: 4 (7): 33-42.
- Shankar R, Tondon J, Gambhir IS, Tripathi CB. Health status of elderly population in rural area of Varanasi district. Indian journal of public health. 2007: 51(1):56-8.
- 17. Sharma D, Mazta SR, Parashar A. Morbidity pattern and health-seeking behavior of aged population residing in Shimla Hills of North India: A crosssectional study. Journal of family medicine and primary care. 2013 Apr; 2 (2):188.
- Khattri JB, Nepal MK. Study of depression among geriatric population in Nepal. Journal of Institute of Medicine. 2006: 8 (4):1-4.
- 19. Simkhada R., Wasti SP, GC VS et al. Prevalence of depressive symptoms and its associated factors in older adults: a crosssectional study in Kathmandu, Nepal. Aging and Mental Health. 2017: ISSN 1360-7863 https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2017.131 0803
- 20. Taqui M, Itrat A, Qidwa W, Qadri Z. Depression in the elderly in Pakistan: Does family system play a role? A cross-sectional study. BMC Psychiatry. 2007: 7:57.
- 21. Rajkumar AP, Thangadurai P, Senthilkumar P, Gayathri K, Prince M, Jacob KS. Nature, Prevalence and Factors Associated with Depression among the Elderly in a Rural South Indian Community. International Psychogeriatric. 2009: 21, 372-378. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S10416102090085 27
- 22. Jariwala V, Bansal RK, Patel Swati, Tamakuwala B. The cross-sectional study on depression among aged in Surat city. Department of Psychiatry, Surat Municipal Institute of Medical Education & Research,

Umarwada, Surat – 395010, Gujarat. India National Journal of Community Medicine. 2010: 1 (1): 47-49.

- Maulik S, Dasgupta A. Depression and its determinants in the rural elderly of West Bengal—a cross sectional study. International Journal of Biological & Medical Research. 2012: 3(1):1299–1302.
- 24. Somrongthong R, Wongchalee S, Yodmai K, Kuhirunyaratn P, Sihapark S, Murred S. Quality of Life and Health Status among Thai Elderly after Economic Crisis, Khon Kaen Province, Thialiand. European Journal of Scientifc Research. 2013; 112; 314-324. http://www.europeanjournalofscientificresea rch.com
- 25. Heydari J, Khani S, Shahhosseini Z. Healthrelated quality of life of elderly living in nursing home and homes in a district of Iran. Indian Journal of Science and Technology. 2012: 5, 5: 2782-2787.
- 26. Khaje BY, Payhoo L, Pourghasem B, Jafarabadi MA.: Assessing the Quality of Life in Elderly People and Related Factors in Tabriz, Iran. Journal of Caring Sciences. 2014: 3 (4): 257-263. doi:10.5681/jcs.2014.028 http://journals.tbzmed.ac.ir/JCS
- 27. Soosova MS. Determinants of Quality of Life in the Elderly. Central European Journal of Nursing and Midwifery. 2016: 7 (3):484-493. doi: 10.15452/CEJNM .07.0019
- 28. Raggi A, Corso B, Minicuci N, Quintas R, Sattin D, De Torres L. Determinants of Quality of Life in Ageing Populations: Results from a Cross-Sectional Study in Finland, Poland and Spain. PLoS ONE.

2016: 11 (7): e0159293.doi:10.1371/journal.pone.015929 3.

- Forjaz MJ, Rodriguez-Blazquez C, Ayala A, Rodriguez-Rodriguez V, de Pedro-Cuesta J, Garcia-Gutierrez S, Prados-Torres A. Chronic conditions, disability and quality of life in older adults with multimorbidity in Spain. European Journal of Internal Medicine. 2015: 26 (3): 176-181.
- 30. Naing MM, Nanthamogkolchai S, & Munsawaengsub C. Quality of life of the elderly people in Myanmar. Asia J Public Health. 2010: 1:4-10.
- Donmez LG. Disability and its effects on quality of life among older people living in Antalya city center, Turkey. Arch Gerontol Geriatr. 2005: 40 (2): 213-223.
- Kurpas D; Mroczek B; Knap-Czechowska, H et al. "Quality of life and acceptance of illness among patients with chronic respiratory diseases." Respiratory Physiology and Neurobiology. 2013: 187 ( 1): 114–117.
- 33. Zaninotto P. Falaschetti E, Sacker A. Age trajectories of quality of life among older adults: results from the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing. Quality of Life Research. 2009: 18 (10): 1301-1309.
- Brown PJ, Roose SP. Age and anxiety and depressive symptoms: the effect on domains of quality of life. International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry. 2011: 26 (12):1260-1266.
- 35. Layte R, Sexton E, Savva G. Evidence from an Irisch cohort study. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 2013: 61 (Suppl 2): 299-305.

How to cite this article: Adhikari RD, Ranjitkar UD, Chand A. Factors associated with quality of life of senior citizens residing in Tarakeshwor municipality, Kathmandu. Int J Health Sci Res. 2018; 8(11):201-208.

\*\*\*\*\*