ULSER International Journal of Health Sciences and Research

www.ijhsr.org

Original Research Article

Health System Response in Dealing Malaria Epidemic in a Hilly State of India

V K Tiwari¹, Sherin Raj², R Gandotra², P D Kulkarni³, L K Piang⁴, Elizabeth H⁵, K S Nair⁶

¹Professor & Head, ²Asst. Research Officer,

Department of Planning and Evaluation, National Institute of Health and Family Welfare, New Delhi. ³ Programme (Ex), Computer Center, National Institute of Health and Family Welfare, New Delhi ⁴Assistant Professor, Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi.

⁵Assistant Professor, Mizoram University, Aizawl.

⁶Assistant Professor College of Public Health and Health Informatics, Qassim University, Saudi Arabia

Corresponding Author: V K Tiwari

ABSTRACT

The state of Mizoram is co-endemic for Plasmodium falciparum and P. vivax malaria. A study comprising of 1760 HHs was conducted during July-August 2014 in high endemic blocks (API>2) across the state to assesses promptness in treatment in the state. It was found that prevalence of new fever cases and fever during last 14 days was 6.59 and 22.58 per thousand populations, respectively. Data reveals that 69.4% sought treatment and ASHAs (42%) and Health Worker (19%) were main sources of treatment. 93% of those who sought treatment got blood test done and got the treatment within 3 days. A total of 94 cases (79 Pf and 15 Pv) were found positive. 70% of Pf cases got ACT treatment within 1 day. However, follow-up of the cases by health worker was poor (aggregate 21%, among pregnant women-33%, children below 5 years-12%).

Key Words: API, ACT, Health System, Plasmodium vivax, P. Falciparum

I. INTRODUCTION

In India, P. falciparum and P. vivax are the most common species causing malaria. Plasmodium vivax is more prevalent in the plain areas, while P. falciparum predominates in forested and hilly areas. Infection with P. falciparum is the most deadly form of malaria. Delay in diagnosis and treatment of malaria increases morbidity and mortality both in the community and specifically in preschool children. ^[1,2] The choice of treatment source was found to be influenced by accessibility, disease type and severity, patient's gender and parents' educational level ^[3-5] Attitude of users towards health care providers is also an important factor ^[6] Patients are more likely to start with self-treatment at home where transport and health facility are the problem. ^[7-9]

Malaria is still one of the major public health problems and over three billion people live at the risk of acquiring malaria worldwide. ^[10] The incidence of malaria in India accounted for 58% of cases in the South East Asia Region of WHO. ^[11] Malaria is endemic in many parts of India, especially in North Eastern states in the country. Malaria transmission is complex due to multi-species co-existence and variable species dominance and bionomical characteristics. ^[12]

According to the World Malaria Report 2014, 22% (275.5m) of India's

population live in high transmission (>1 1000 population) areas, 67% case per (838.9m) live in low transmission (0-1 cases per 1000 population) areas and 11% (137.7m) live in malaria-free (0) cases) areas. In 2013, with 128 million tests being conducted on the suspected cases, with P. falciparum causing 53% and P. vivax causing 47% of the infections. ^[13] The proportion of P. falciparum and P. vivax, however, varied greatly inter alia from one ecotype to another due to climate variability and malaria control interventions. Official figures for malaria in India, available at NVBDCP indicate 0.7–1.6 million confirmed cases and 400-1,000 deaths annually. ^[16] The proportion of P. vivax and P. falciparum varies in different parts of India; P. falciparum accounts for 30-90% of the infections in the forested areas inhabited by ethnic tribes and <10% of malaria cases in mostly indo-gangetic plains and northern hilly states, northwestern India, and southern Tamil Nadu.^[13] North Eastern states of India are co-endemic for both *Plasmodium falciparum* and *P. vivax* malaria, and in the past contributed 10 per cent of cases and 20 per cent malariaattributable deaths in India.^[17] Studies have revealed that northeast region is an established route for migration and spread of drug-resistant P. falciparum malaria to rest of the country. ^[18]

The state of Mizoram is one of the high endemic States in North Eastern Region in the country. During 2013-2014, approximately 10 in 1000 population were found positive. In the year 2009, the total deaths reported from malaria were 119 which further reduced to 31 in 2010, 30 in 2011, 25 in 2012 and 21 in 2013 and 31 in 2014 i.e. almost 84% reduction in deaths due to malaria over the 5 years duration. It further reduced to 1 & 3 in 2015 & 2016, respectively. ^[19]

The National Vector Borne Disease Control Programme (NVBDCP) was launched in 2003-04. ^[20] In Mizoram, some far away districts and those bordering with Tripura, Bangladesh and Myanmar, are still having higher API. The GFATM Round 9, IMCP- II project is implemented in high API districts in Mizoram with the objectives of prompt and effective treatment (using ACT) besides many promotive and preventive services.^[21]

The present study was conducted in the high prevalence districts in the state of Mizoram to assess (i) epidemiological situation and (ii) promptness in seeking treatment particularly amongst pregnant women and children under five.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS Sampling Design and Sample Size

A two stage sampling technique for selection of blocks and villages within the State was followed. At the first stage, 10 (Sub-districts) endemic Blocks were selected from the list using the PPS sampling technique. In each of the selected Block, all the Sub-centres with API >2 in the last three years (2010-12) were listed alphabetically. Then all the villages under those Sub-centres were listed along with their population and 8 villages were selected by PPS method, giving a total of 8 villages per Block. A randomly selected sample of 11 households per village from 80 selected villages was included to study treatment seeking behaviour. An another sample of 11 old fever cases during last 14 day was selected by systematic random sampling from the list of old fever cases prepared during house listing. Thus, the total sample size was 1760 HHs for the State.

Reference Period for Data Collection

This report contains the findings of household survey for malaria in endemic districts of Mizoram State carried out during peak season during July-August 2014.

Tools used for the Survey

The present survey utilized (i) Household listing schedule a day prior to survey (ii) Fever/chills in last 14 days of visit (iii) Fever/chills on the day of visit. Besides, information available on the website of state health department was also analysed.

Data Collection and Analysis

A survey team, consisting of 5 well trained members (1 Supervisor + 4 Field investigators), was responsible for survey in each village for 2 days. For each selected Block, there were two such teams and each team covered 4 villages in 8 days. The collected data was analyzed using Excel and SPSS ver21.0.

Ethical Clearance

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Institute. Due informed consent was taken from all the households under survey.

Quality Assurance

The supervisor of local evaluation/survey team verified at least 10% of the completed interview schedule of new and old fever cases in last 2 weeks.

Study Limitations: The study considered Sub-centres with API <2 were excluded

from the study which deprived to assess and compare health systems response in those areas.

III. RESULTS

3.1 Profile of Respondents

Majority of the households (45%) belonged to the age group 30-39 years, were males (73%) and literate (87%), were Christians (96%) and Schedule Tribes (98%). Occupation wise 54% were engaged in agriculture and 16% were in government/private job.

3.2 Awareness about Malaria in Community

The study found that more than 70% respondents were aware about malaria, and its prevention. The awareness in endemic faraway districts like Logtlai and Lunglei was low compared to other Districts/Blocks (Table 1).

 Table 1.Awareness on Malaria and its Prevention by District and Block

District	Aizawl East	Aizawl West	Kolasil)		Mamit	Champhai	Llunglei	Lawngtlai	Saihya	
Block	(Phullen n=88)	Aibawk (n=95)	Kolasib (n=11)	Bikhawthir (n=57)	Thingdowl (n=11)	Zamuang (n=89)	Ngopa (n=88)	L.lunglei (n=202)	Lawngtlai (n=176)	Tuipang (n=88)	Total (n=905)
How a person gets Malaria	70.5	95.8	100.0	96.5	90.9	92.1	83.0	69.3	60.2	75.0	76.9
How to know Malaria fever	69.3	80.0	81.8	80.7	72.7	91.0	88.6	50.0	55.7	83.0	69.7
How to prevent Malaria	67.0	93.7	100.0	91.2	90.9	88.8	95.5	65.8	59.7	87.5	77.2

However, awareness was low among schedule caste, villages where LLINs were distributed and BPL population (Table 2).

Table 2: Awareness on Malaria and its Prevention among the Community

	14010 11	inai enebb c			e i entron a	mong the con	manney		
Categories	SC (n=7)	ST	OBC	OTHERS	LLIN	Non	BPL	Non	Total
		(n=886)	(n=5)	(n=7)	(n=718)	LLIN	(n=382)	BPL	(n=905)
						(n=187)		(n=523)	
How a person gets Malaria	71.4	77.2	80.6	42.9	77.4	74.9	76.2	77.4	76.9
How to know Malaria fever	57.1	70.0	100.0	28.6	67.7	77.5	68.3	70.0	69.7
How to prevent Malaria	71.4	77.5	80.0	42.9	75.6	83.4	73.8	79.7	77.2

3.3 Prevalence of Old Fever/Chills Cases during Last 14 Days and Same Day Fever/Chills Cases in selected Districts/ Blocks

Our analysis revealed that prevalence rate (per thousand populations) for same day fever cases was 6.59 and for old fever cases during last 14 days was 22.58.Among SC/ST/OBC population, prevalence rate for old fever cases during last 14 days (29.56) and same day fever cases (7.18) were quite high compared to aggregate prevalence rate for the State (Table 3).

 Table 3.Point Prevalence Rate (per 1000 Population) of New Fever/Chills on the Day of Survey and Period Prevalence Rate of Old Fever

 Cases during last 14 Days of Survey

Districts	Aizawl East	Aizawl West	Kolas	ib		Mamit	Champhai	Llunglei	Lawngtlai	Saiha	Total
Blocks	Phullen	Aibawk	Kolasib	Bikhawthir	Thingdowl	Zamuang	Ngopa	Llunglei	Lawngtlai	Tuipang	
1	Point Pr	evalence o	f New F	ever/Cl	nill Case	es on the d	ay of Survey	1	•	1	
	1.87	0.00	0.00	1.56	6.32	1.85	1.37	9.75	20.45	0.00	6.59
2	Prevaler	ce of Old	Fever/C	hill Ca	ses duri	ng last 14	days from the	date of surv	ey		
	6.32	2.43	0.00	5.91	0.00	10.46	4.49	30.46	69.80	2.23	22.58
3	Point Pr	evalence o	f New F	'ever/Cl	nill Case	es among I	BPL card hold	ers on the d	ay of Survey		
	3.12	0	0.00	2.62	7.81	1.65	26.32	24.42	31.66	0.00	11.76
4	Prevaler	ce of Old	Fever/C	hill Ca	ses duri	ng last 14	days from dat	e of Survey	among BPLs		
	9.35	2.88	0.00	7.87	0.00	12.41	5.01	25.58	85.63	1.48	20.21
5	Point Pr	evalence o	f New F	'ever/Cl	nill Case	es among l	Non-BPL card	holders on	the day of Su	vey	
	1.51	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.15	1.24	10.38	38.79	0.00	10.07
6	Prevaler	ce of Old	Fever/C	hill Ca	ses amo	ng Non BF	PL Card Holde	ers during la	st 14 day from	n date of	Survey
	5.44	0.00	0.00	3.91	0.00	9.96	4.98	22.99	76.51	2.59	22.25
7	Point Pr	evalence of	f New F	'ever/Cl	nill Case	es among S	SC/ST/OBC or	1 the day of	Survey		
	1.17	0.00	0.00	2.18	6.32	3.00	0.74	9.50	27.67	0.00	7.18
8	Prevaler	ce of Old	Fever/C	hill Ca	ses amo	ng SC/ST/	OBC during la	ast 14 days f	rom date of S	urvey	
	6.32	2.19	0.00	5.60	0.00	11.14	4.06	22.37	91.35	68.35	29.56
9	Point Pr	evalence o	f New F	'ever/Cl	nill Case	es among (Others on the	day of Surve	ey		
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	54.69	81.63	0.00	6.32
10	Prevaler	ce of Old	Fever/C	hillCas	es amor	ng Others i	in last 14 days	from date o	f Survey		
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.63	0.00	15.63	61.22	0.00	4.74

It is also found that Districts like Aizawl West, Saihya and Kolasib, were low prevalence; Nagopa, Aizawl East were having medium prevalence but other districts like Mamit, Lunglei, Lawngtlai have high prevalence rates.

3.4 Blood Test in respect of 14 Days Old Fever/Chills Cases and Treatment Provided

Our findings describes that 69% (261 cases) of old fever cases during last 14 days took treatment from health care provider. This percentage was highest (90%) in respect of pregnant women and lowest (54%) in respect of children less than 5 years (Table 4).

 Table 4. Treatment status in respect of old fever/chills cases during last 14 days from the date of survey in the community

 Age distribution of old fever cases during last 14 days from the date of survey

Age-Group Tot	tal(N=376)											
<5	6-10	11-20	21-30		31-40	41-50	51-60	61-70	71-90			
(N=68)	(N=29)	(N=78)	(N=55)		(N=46)	(N=35)	(N=31)	(N=23)	N=11			
18.1%	7.7%	20.7%	14.6%		12.2%	9.3%	8.2%	6.1%	2.9%			
Patient seek treatment from health care provider												
Sought	Type of vill	age			Sex Pregnant			Children	Total			
Treat-ment		8-			~		Women		(N=376)			
Treat-ment	Non	LLIN	No	Information	Male	Female	Women (N=20)	Less than	(N=376)			
Treat-ment	Non LLIN	LLIN (N=328)	No (N=10)	Information	Male (N=194)	Female (N=182)	Women (N=20)	Less than 5 years	(N=376)			
Treat-ment	Non LLIN (N=38)	LLIN (N=328)	No (N=10)	Information	Male (N=194)	Female (N=182)	Women (N=20)	Less than 5 years (N=68)	(N=376)			
Treat-ment	Non LLIN (N=38) 29	LLIN (N=328) 226	No (N=10) 6	Information	Male (N=194)	Female (N=182) 121	Women (N=20)	Less than 5 years (N=68) 37	(N=376) 261			

Study found that out of these, 77% took treatment from government health care provider. It was higher among females (79%), children (78%) but lower in males

(76%) and pregnant women (44%). ASHA (42%) and Health Workers (19%) were first but also major source of advice/treatment (Table 5).

Source of Treat-ment	Type of vi	llage		Sex		Pregnant Women	Children	
Treat-ment	Non LLIN (N=29)	LLIN (N=226)	No Information (N=6)	Male (N=140)	Female (N=121)	Yes (N=18)	Less than 5 years (N=37)	Total (N=261)
ASHA	12	95	2	57	52	4	14	109
	41.4%	42.0%	33.3%	40.7%	43.0%	22.2%	37.8%	41.8%
ANM	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
	.0%	.9%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%
AWW	0	8	0	4	4	0	0	8
	.0%	3.5%	.0%	2.9%	3.3%	.0%	.0%	3.1%
Health Worker	4	43	2	26	23	2	8	49
(F)	13.8%	19.0%	33.3%	18.6%	19.0%	11.1%	21.6%	18.8%
FTD	0	7	0	5	2	0	1	7
	.0%	3.1%	.0%	3.6%	1.7%	.0%	2.7%	2.7%
DDC	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
	.0%	.9%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%
CHV	1	15	1	5	12	0	5	17
	3.4%	6.6%	16.7%	3.6%	9.9%	.0%	13.5%	6.5%
PHC	3	5	0	6	2	2	1	8
	10.3%	2.2%	.0%	4.3%	1.7%	11.1%	2.7%	3.1%
No Response	9	49	1	33	26	10	8	59
-	31.0%	21.7%	16.7%	23.6%	21.5%	55.6%	21.6%	22.6%

Table 5. Sources of advice/treatment in respect of old fever/chills cases during last 14 days from the date of survey in the community

Table 5 also informs that nearly one fourth (23%) who did not respond, probably taken home remedies, or approached local healers or took treatment from private service providers.

Table 6: Time gap in days between onset	t of fever and first cont	act with health car	re provider	
Type of village	Sex	Pregnant	Children	

Time Gan in	Type of vi	llage		Sex		Pregnant Women	Children	Total
days	Non LLIN (N=29) LLIN (N=226) No (N=6) Information (N=6) 14 78 3		No Information (N=6)	Male (N=140)	Female (N=121)	Yes (N=18)	Less than 5 years (N=37)	(N=261)
1	14	78	3	50	45	13	16	95
	48.3%	34.5%	50.0%	35.7%	37.2%	72.2%	43.2%	36.4%
2	6	56	1	28	35	2	8	63
	20.7%	24.8%	16.7%	20.0%	28.9%	11.1%	21.6%	24.1%
3	0	46	0	29	17	0	7	46
	0.0%	20.4%	0.0%	20.7%	14.0%	0.0%	18.9%	17.6%
4	3,6	37	2	26	19	3	4	45
	10.3%	16.4%	33.3%	18.6%	15.8%	16.7%	10.8%	17.3%
No response	3	9	0	7	5	0	2	12
	10.3%	4.0%	0.0%	5.0%	4.1%	0.0%	5.4%	4.6%

Out of 261 patients who sought advice/treatment, more than one third (36.4%) of them contacted within 1 day of time of onset of fever and 42 % contacted within 2 to 3 days of start of fever. In case of pregnant women 72% contacted within 1 day of start of fever and 11% within 2 to 3 days of start of fever. In case of children 43% contacted within 1 day and 40.5% within 2 to 3 days of start of fever (Table 6). Our analysis in Table 7indicates that out of 261 patients sought advice/treatment for fever/chills in last 14 days, blood test was done in respect of 93% patients (243 patients); this percentage was higher in males (99%), pregnant women (95%) and children (92%) but less in females (87%).

The time gap between onset of fever and blood test was also analysed. It was found that majority of patients (64%) got the test done within 1 day but it was less in respect of pregnant women (53%) and high (79%) in respect of children less than 5 years. Out of 243 patients, overall 95% (230 patients) of them received the result of blood test and majority (66%) received their blood test report within a day.

Our analysis in table 8 reveals that almost 95% of the old fever cases received their blood test report but in case of pregnant women, it was just 71%. In majority of cases (66%), the report was received within one day but it was lowest in pregnant women (58%). As per the available record with the patients regarding the blood test report, 34% cases were P. Falciparum, 6.5% were P.Vivax, 10.4%

cases could not be ascertained and 49% cases were negative.

Table7.Status of blood test done in respect of old fever/chill cases during last 14 days from the date of survey by type of villages, sex, pregnancy status and children

uning miless	with level/	chills:					
Type of vi	llage		Sex		Pregnant		
					Women	Children	
Non	LLIN	No Information	Male	Female	Yes	Less than 5	Total
LLIN	(N=226)	(N=6)	(N=140)	(N=121)	(N=18)	years	(N=261)
(N=29)						(N=37)	
22	215	6	138	105	17	34	243
75.9%	95.1%	100.0%	98.6%	86.8%	94.5%	91.9%	93.1%
p in days bet	tween onset	of fever/chills and bl	ood test?				
Type of vi	llage		Sex		Pregnant	Age < 5	
	-				Women	-	Total
Non	LLIN	No Information	Male	Female	Yes (N=17)	(N=34)	(N=243)
LLIN	(N=215)	(N=6)	(N=138)	(N=105)			
(N=22)							
11	79	3	48	45	8	16	93
50.0%	36.7%	50.0%	34.8%	42.9%	47.1%	47.1%	38.3%
4	53	1	33	25	1	9	58
18.2%	24.7%	16.7%	23.9%	23.8%	5.9%	26.5%	23.9%
0	39	0	24	15	1	4	39
0.0%	18.1%	0.0%	17.4%	14.3%	5.9%	11.8%	16.0%
3	26	2	18	13	3	3	31
13.6%	12.1	33.3%	12.9%	12.4	17.7	8.7%	12.8
4	18	0	15	7	4	2	22
10.00/	0 404	0.001	10.001	< - - - - - - - - - -	00 501	F 0.04	0.10/
	Non LLIN (N=29) 22 75.9% pin days bet Type of vil Non LLIN (N=22) 11 50.0% 4 18.2% 0 0.0% 3 13.6% 4 2.2%	Non LLIN (N=29) 22 22 215 75.9% 95.1% p in days between onset Type of village Non LLIN (N=22) 11 11 79 50.0% 36.7% 4 53 18.2% 24.7% 0 39 0.0% 18.1% 3 26 13.6% 12.1 4 18	Non LLIN No Information (N=29) (N=226) (N=6) 22 215 6 75.9% 95.1% 100.0% p in days between onset of fever/chills and bl Type of village Non LLIN (N=215) (N=22) 11 79 11 79 3 50.0% 36.7% 50.0% 4 53 1 18.2% 24.7% 16.7% 0 39 0 0.0% 18.1% 0.0% 3 26 2 13.6% 12.1 33.3% 4 18 0	Non LLIN No Information Male 75.9% 95.1% 100.0% 98.6% p in days between onset of fever/chills and blood test? 75.9% 95.1% 100.0% p in days between onset of fever/chills and blood test? Sex Sex Non LLIN (N=215) Sex Non LLIN (N=215) Sex Non LLIN No Information Male (N=22) 11 79 3 48 50.0% 36.7% 50.0% 34.8% 4 53 1 33 18.2% 24.7% 16.7% 23.9% 0 39 0 24 0.0% 18.1% 0.0% 17.4% 3 26 2 18 13.6% 12.1 33.3% 12.9%	Non LLIN No Information Male Female $N22$ 215 6 138 105 75.9% 95.1% 100.0% 98.6% 86.8% p in days between onset of fever/chills and blood test? Type of village Sex Non LLIN No Information Male (N=121) (N=22) 215 6 138 105 Type of village Sex Sex No No Non LLIN No Information Male (N=105) (N=22) No Information Male Female 11 79 3 48 45 50.0% 36.7% 50.0% 34.8% 42.9% 4 53 1 33 25 18.2% 24.7% 16.7% 23.9% 23.8% 0 39 0 24 15 0.0% 18.1% 0.0% 17.4% 14.3%	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Sex Pregnant Women Children Non LLIN (N=226) No Information (N=6) Male (N=140) Female (N=121) Yes (N=18) Less than 5 years (N=37) 22 215 6 138 105 17 34 75.9% 95.1% 100.0% 98.6% 86.8% 94.5% 91.9% pin days between onset of fever/chills and blood test? Type of village Sex Pregnant Women Age < 5 Non LLIN (N=215) No Information (N=6) Male (N=138) Female (N=105) Yes (N=17) (N=34) 11 79 3 48 45 8 16 50.0% 36.7% 50.0% 34.8% 42.9% 47.1% 47.1% 4 53 1 33 25 1 9 18.2% 24.7% 16.7% 23.9% 23.8% 5.9% 26.5% 0 39 0 24 15 1 4 0.0% 18.1%

	T	able8. Details	of results of blood tes	st done in re	espect of old	l fever/chill case	s	
Was the result of	blood test re	eceived?						
Result Received	Type of vi	llage		Sex		Pregnant Women	Children	
	Non LLIN (N=22)	LLIN (N=215)	No Information (N=6)	Male (N=138)	Female (N=105)	Yes (N=17)	(N=34)	Total (N=243)
Yes	20	204	6	131	91	12	33	230
	90.9%	94.9%	100%	94.9%	94.3%	70.6%	97.1%	94.7%
Time Gap between	n blood Tes	t and receiving	g report?			•		
Delay in Getting Result	Type of vi	llage	-	Sex		Pregnant Women	Children	
	Non LLIN (N=20)	LLIN (N=204)	No Information (N=6)	Male (N=131)	Female (N=91)	Yes (N=12)	Less than 5 years (N=33)	Total (N=230)
0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
	14	132	5	85	66	7	27	151
1 day	70.0%	64.7%	83.3%	64.9%	66.7%	58.3%	81.8%	65.7%
2 days	2	5	0	5	2	1	1	7
	10.0%	2.5%	.0%	3.8%	2.0%	8.3%	3.0%	3.0%
3 days and above	0	14	0	7	7	0	0	14
	0.0%	7%	0.0%	5.4%	5%	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%
No response	4	52	1	34	23	4	5	57
	20.0%	25.5%	16.7%	26.0%	23.2%	33.3%	15.2%	24.8%
What was the resu	ult of the blo	ood test (confi	rm from report/presc	ription if av	ailable)?	1		
Blood Test Result	Type of vi	llage		Sex		Pregnant Women		
	Non LLIN (N=20)	LLIN (N=204)	No Information (N=6)	Male (N=131)	Female (N=91)	Yes (N=12)	Less than 5 years (N=33)	Total (N=230)
P. Vivax	0	15	0	10	5	1	3	15
	0.0%	7.4%	0.0%	7.6%	5.1%	8.3%	9.1%	6.5%
P Falciparum	5	73	1	52	27	4	12	79
	25.0%	35.8%	16.7%	39.7%	27.3%	33.3%	36.4%	34.3%
Negative	13	96	3	61	51	6	14	112
	65.0%	47.1%	50%	46.6%	51.5%	50.0%	42.4%	48.7%
Could not	1	16	0	7	10	1	3	17
specify	5.0%	7.8%	0.0%	5.3%	10.1%	8.3%	9.1%	7.4%
No response	1	4	2	1	6	1.0	1 1	7

.8%

6.1%

.0%

3.0%

5.0%

2.0%

33.3%

3.0%

The details of treatment received after blood report is presented in Table 9. After getting the report positive for P. Falciparum, majority (70%) got ACT treatment within 1 day.The92% children and 50% pregnant women could get ACT treatment within a day. In case of P Vivax, 87% got the treatment within 1 day.

Table9. Treatment initiated after getting results of blood test in respect of old fever/chill cases during last 14 days from the date of survey by
type of villages, sex, pregnancy status and children
If positive for B folgingroup how many days after the first context with health care provider did she/he get the treatment ACT as

per the guidelines?	,							
Delay in Treatment	Type of vi	llage		Sex		Pregnant women	Children	
	Non LLIN (N=5)	LLIN (N=73)	No Information (N=1)	Male (N=52)	Female (N=27)	Yes (N=4)	Less than 5 years (N=12)	Total (N=79)
1 day	1	54	0	33	22	2	11	55
	20.0%	74%	0.0%	63.5%	81.5%	50%	91.7%	69.6%
2 days	1	8	1	7	3	1	0	10
	20.0%	11%	100%	13.5%	11.1%	25%	0.0%	12.7%
3 days	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
4 days	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	2
	0.0%	2.7%	0.0	1.9%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%
5 days	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
No response	3	7	0	10	0	1	1	10
	60.0%	9.6%	0.0%	19.2%	0.0%	25.0%	8.3%	12.6%
If positive for P.Vivax	how mony	dove ofto						4
If positive for freeday,	now many	uays alle	r the first contact w	ith health	care prov	vider did she/he	get the treatmen	t as per
guideline?		uays alte	r the first contact w	ith nealth	care prov	vider did she/he	get the treatmen	t as per
guideline? Delay in Treatment	Type of vi	llage	r the first contact w	Sex	care prov	vider did she/he Pregnant Women	Children	Total (N=15)
guideline? Delay in Treatment	Type of vi Non LLIN (N=0)	llage LLIN (N=15)	No Information (N=0)	Sex Male (N=10)	Female (N=5)	Pregnant Women Yes (N=1)	children Less than 5 years (N=3)	Total (N=15)
guideline? Delay in Treatment	Type of vi Non LLIN (N=0) 0	llage LLIN (N=15)	No Information (N=0)	Sex Male (N=10) 8	Female (N=5)	Vider did she/he Pregnant Women Yes (N=1) 1	get the treatmen Children Less than 5 years (N=3) 3	Total (N=15)
guideline? Delay in Treatment	Non LLIN 0 0.0%	LLIN (N=15) 13 86.7%	No Information (N=0) 0 .0%	Male (N=10) 8 80%	Female (N=5) 5 100.0%	Vider did she/he Pregnant Women Yes (N=1) 1 100.0%	get the treatmen Children Less than 5 years (N=3) 3 100.0%	Total (N=15) 13 86.7%
guideline? Delay in Treatment	Non LLIN (N=0) 0 0.0% 0	LLIN (N=15) 13 86.7% 2	No Information (N=0) 0 .0% 0	Sex Male (N=10) 8 80% 2	Female (N=5) 5 100.0% 0	Vider did she/he Pregnant Women Yes (N=1) 1 100.0% 0	get the treatmen Children Less than 5 years (N=3) 3 100.0% 0	Total (N=15) 13 86.7% 2 2
guideline? Delay in Treatment	Type of vi Non LLIN (N=0) 0 0.0% 0 0.0%	LLIN (N=15) 13 86.7% 2 13.3%	No Information (N=0) 0 .0% 0 .0%	Sex Male (N=10) 8 80% 2 20%	Female (N=5) 5 100.0% 0 0.0%	Pregnant Women Yes (N=1) 1 100.0% 0 0.0%	get the treatmen Children Less than 5 years (N=3) 3 100.0% 0 0.0%	Total (N=15) 13 86.7% 2 13.3%
guideline? Delay in Treatment	Type of vi Non LLIN (N=0) 0 0.0% 0 0.0% ster packet (LLIN (N=15) 13 86.7% 2 13.3% (ACT), was	No Information (N=0) 0 .0% 0 .0% s any other drugs(s) g	Sex Male (N=10) 8 80% 2 20% iven?	Female (N=5) 5 100.0% 0 0.0%	Pregnant Women Yes (N=1) 1 100.0% 0 0.0%	get the treatmen Children Less than 5 years (N=3) 3 100.0% 0 0.0%	Total (N=15) 13 86.7% 2 13.3%
guideline? Delay in Treatment 1 day No response If did not receive any blis Received other than ACT drug	Type of vi Non LLIN (N=0) 0 0.0% 0 0.0% ster packet (Type of vi	LLIN (N=15) 13 86.7% 2 13.3% (ACT), was	No Information (N=0) 0 .0% 0 0.0% s any other drugs(s) g	Sex Male (N=10) 8 80% 2 20% iven?	Female (N=5) 5 100.0% 0 0.0%	Vider did she/he Pregnant Women Yes (N=1) 1 100.0% 0 0.0% Pregnant Women	get the treatmen Children Less than 5 years (N=3) 3 100.0% 0 0.0% Children	Total (N=15) 13 86.7% 2 13.3% Total (N=79)
guideline? Delay in Treatment 1 day No response If did not receive any blig Received other than ACT drug	Type of vi Non LLIN (N=0) 0 0.0% 0 0.0% ster packet (Type of vi Non LLIN (N=5)	LLIN (N=15) 13 86.7% 2 13.3% (ACT), was llage LLIN (N=73)	No Information (N=0) 0 .0% 0 0.0% s any other drugs(s) g No Information (N=1)	Sex Male (N=10) 8 80% 2 20% iven? Sex Male (N=52)	Female (N=5) 5 100.0% 0 0.0% Female (N=27)	Vider did she/he Pregnant Women Yes (N=1) 1 100.0% 0 0.0% Pregnant Women Yes (N=4)	get the treatmen Children Less than 5 years (N=3) 3 100.0% 0 0.0% Children Less than 5 years (N=12)	Total (N=15) 13 86.7% 2 13.3% Total (N=79)
guideline? Delay in Treatment 1 day No response If did not receive any blis Received other than ACT drug	Type of vi Non LLIN (N=0) 0 0.0% 0 0.0% ster packet (Type of vi Non LLIN (N=5) 0	LLIN (N=15) 13 86.7% 2 13.3% (ACT), wat llage LLIN (N=73) 33	No Information (N=0) 0 .0% 0 0.0% s any other drugs(s) g No Information (N=1) 0 0	Sex Male (N=10) 8 80% 2 20% iven? Sex Male (N=52) 23	Female (N=5) 5 100.0% 0 0.0% Female (N=27) 10	Vider did she/he Pregnant Women Yes (N=1) 1 100.0% 0 0.0% Pregnant Women Yes (N=4) 2	get the treatmen Children Less than 5 years (N=3) 3 100.0% 0 0.0% Children Less than 5 years (N=12) 6	Total (N=15) 13 86.7% 2 13.3% Total (N=79) 33

Further, it was found that nearly 42% P. Falciparum cases were provided other that ACT which was almost 50% in case of pregnant women and children but less among males (44%) and females (37%). It seems quite realistic as most of the places shortages of medicines were reported during rainy season.

Table10.Follow-up visit of old fever/chill cases during last 14 days by the health workers

Did the health work	er (male) vis	sit the house	ehold any time during	this illness?				
Visit of Health	Type of vil	lage		Sex		Pregnant	Children	Total
Worker						Women		(N=230)
	Non	LLIN	No Information	Male	Female	Yes (N=12)	Less than 5	
	LLIN	(N=204)	(N=6)	(N=131)	(N=91)		years	
	(N=20)						(N=33)	
Yes	7	39	2	28	20	4	4	48
	35.0%	19.1%	33.3%	21.4%	20.2%	33.3%	12.1%	20.9%
No	2	100	2	58	46	2	15	104
	10.0%	49.0%	33.3%	44.3%	46.5%	16.7%	45.5%	45.2%
No Response	11	65	2	45	33	6	14	78
	55.0%	31.9%	33.3%	34.4%	33.3%	50.0%	42.4%	33.9%

Study found that in aggregate health worker visited 21% households. However, relatively more pregnant women (33%) and less children (12%) were visited by the health worker (Table 10).

3.4 Hospitalization of Old Fever/Chill Cases during Last 14 Days from Date of Survey

A total of 94 cases (79 Pf and 15Pv) were tested to be positive. It was found that

nearly one fifth (21%) of patients were admitted during the illness. Relatively higher cases of hospitalization were found among children (60%) than pregnant women (26%).Primary Health Centers and Government Hospitals admitted nearly 70% of malaria patients and 15% patients were admitted in Private Hospitals. It was found that higher percentages of women (22%) were admitted in private hospitals than men (9%).

Table 11. Status of hospitalization of old fever cases during last 14 days from date of survey by vulnerable groups

Was the patient ad	mitted to hos	spital?							
Patient Admitted	Type of village			Sex		Pregnant Women	Children	Total (N=94)	
	Non LLIN (N=5)	LLIN (N=88)	No (N=1)	Information	Male (N=62)	Female (N=32)	Yes (N=5)	Less than 5 Yrs (N=15)	
Yes	3	17	0		11	9	3	4	20
	60%	19.3%	0.0%		17.7%	28.1%	60.0%	26.7%	21.3%
If yes, place of hos	pitalization								
Place of Admission	Type of village				Sex		Pregnant Women	Children Tota (N=2	Total (N=20)
	Non LLIN (N=3)	LLIN (N=17)	No (N=0)	Information	Male (N=11)	Female (N=9)	Yes (N=3)	Less than 5 Yrs (N=4)	
PHC	0	3	0		2	1	1	0	3
	0.0%	17.6%	0.0%		18.2%	11.1%	33.3%	0.0%	15.0%
CHC	0	1	0		1	0	0	0	1
	0.0%	5.9%	0.0%		9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%
Govt Hospital	1	10	0		5	6	1	3	11
	33.3%	58.8%	0.0%		45.5%	66.7%	33.3%	75.0%	55.0%
Private hospital	1	2	0		1	2	0	1	3
	33.3%	11.8%	0.0%		9.1%	22.2%	0.0%	25.0%	15.0%
Other	0	1	0		1	0	1	0	1
	0.0%	5.9%	0.0%		9.1%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	5.0%
No response	1	0	0		1	0	0	0	1
	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%		9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%

3.5 Details of Blood Test done in respect of Same Day Fever/Chills Cases and Treatment Provided

A total of 246 same day fever cases were identified during survey. As per the details in the table 12, the RDT test was done for 70% (171) cases by the government health worker/ASHA etc. The RDT done on same day fever cases was higher among males (72%) than females (67%), high among pregnant women (77%) but less among children (66%).

Results of the test shows that 21% cases were detected as Plasmodium Falciparum and only 4.7% were detected

positive for Plasmodium vivax and 74% cases were negative. The Pf positive were detected more in males (26%) than females (16%) and more in pregnant women (40%) but less (10%) among children. The positive Pv cases were higher among males (6%) compared to females (3.7%) and children (2.6%).

Overall 61% positive cases, 100% pregnant women and 60% children were provided treatment as per guidelines. In case of negative result (127 persons), 28% blood slides were sent for re-examination and patients were treated with Paracetamol.

RDT test and blood slip	de has been done on th	e day of survey	by health wor	ker/ASHA/o	ther staff						
	Type of village		Sex		If married women,	Children					
				pregnancy							
	Non LLIN (N=36)	LLIN (210)	Male	Female	Yes (N=13)	<5 Years age	Total				
			(N=124)	(N=122)		(N=59)	(N=246)				
Yes	25	146	89	82	10	39	171				
	69.4%	69.5%	71.8%	67.2%	76.9%	66.1%	69.5%				
Result of RDT test for Plasmodium falciparum											
	Type of village	Sex		If married women,	Children	Total					
				pregnancy		(N=171)					
	Non LLIN (N=25)	LLIN (146)	Male	Female	Yes (N=10)	<5 Years age					
			(N=89)	(N=82)		(N=39)					
Plasmodium	4	32	23	13	4	4	36				
falciparum	16.00%	21.9%	25.8%	15.9%	40.0%	10.3%	21.1%				
Positive for	0	8	5	3	0	1	8				
Plasmodium Vivax	0.0%	5.5%	5.6%	3.7%	0.0%	2.6%	4.7%				
Negative	21	106	61	66	6	34	127				
	84.00%	72.60%	68.50%	80.50%	60.00%	87.20%	74.30%				
If RDT positive, treatment given as per guidelines											
	N=4	N=40	N=28	N=16	N=4	N=5	(N=44)				
Yes	3	25	18	10	4	3	28				
	75.00%	59.50%	64.30%	55.60%	100.00%	60.00%	60.90%				
If RDT is negative, w	hether blood slide has	s been sent for	examination a	and treated	with Paracetamol						
	N=21	N=106	N=61	N=66	N=6	N=34	N=127				
Yes	12	23	17	18	3	7	35				
	57.10%	21.70%	27.90%	27.30%	50.00%	20.60%	27.60%				
Did you sleep under t	he bed nets (LLIN or	ordinary bed	net) last night	?							
Yes	27	160	97	90	9	46	187				
	75.0%	76.2%	78.2%	73.8%	69.2%	78.0%	76.0%				

Table 12. Services provided to same day fever/chills patients by type of villages, sex, pregnancy status and children

IV. DISCUSSION

The state topography poses many challenges in implementation of vector borne diseases control programme. Areas bordering with Bangladesh had high API and high malarial deaths due to geo-climatic conditions. Under the State Vector Borne Disease Control Programme (SVBDCP), Awareness about preventive measures and compulsory blood test and starting treatment for malaria within 24 hours were key strategies.^[22]

Our study found high level of awareness regarding various aspects of malaria viz., causes, symptoms, prevention etc ranging from 70 to 77% but was relatively less in faraway high endemic districts (55 to 60%). Inspite of awareness activities carried out by the State, ^[22] awareness among BPL and SC population was relatively low.

Blood test was done in 93% patients and majority (95%) got their blood test report. This high percentage was due to adequate training and supply of rapid test kits (RDTs) to ASHA workers. However, probably due to decrease in malaria prevalence rate, screening cases of blood samples collected decreased to 43% during 2009 to 2012. However, it increased to 2635 in 2013. The number of Pf cases was 18 in 2009 but in 2010, no Pf cases were reported. However, number of Pf cases was 2 in 2011, 4 in 2012 and were 8 in 2013. ^[22]

The study reveals that for the treatment of old fever cases, 60% household sought treatment, but it was less in females (66.5%) than males (72.2%). Very high percentage (90.8%) of pregnant women sought treatment but unfortunately it was around half (54%) among children (<5 years). Due to landslide etc during rainy season, merely 3% reported to have visited Primary Health Center. More than one third (36%) old fever cases contacted health provider mainly ASHA workers within a day and nearly four fifth (78%) contacted health care provider with 3 days, may be initially having some home remedies, or self-medication. It appear that due to active of ASHA workers, very high role percentage of pregnant women(72%)contacted health service provider within a day but for children, it was less than half (42%). Only one fifth (21%) of patients were visited by the health worker.

It was due to coordination and assistance provided by the ASHA/Health workers that 60% of pregnant women and 27% of sick children were hospitalized in PHCs/CHCs. More or less similar findings emerged in case of same day (new) fever cases as 70% were tested by the Rapid Test Kit (RDT) by the ASHA or local health workers though this figure was high among pregnant women (77%) but relatively less (66%) among children. Majority of tested positive were for Plasmodium Falciparum and just 5% were tested positive for Plasmodium Vivax. All positive tested cases were given medicines mainly by the Auxiliary Nurse Midwives (ANMs) as per the programme guidelines.

Because of difficult terrain and landslide, bad road conditions especially during peak malaria season people in faraway & backward districts faced tremendous difficulty while travelling to PHC or CHC for treatment of malaria. Distance to health facility, cost of antimalarial treatment and the decision made by the caretakers at home all determine the [23] Household seeking. health care headship, education level and income are also associated with health care seeking.^[24] For the effective management of malaria in the community, it is important to advise properly by the health workers on one hand and to take full treatment by the patients.^[25] The WHO ^[26] recommends a multi-pronged strategy to control and eliminate malaria. In the North-Eastern states due to reports of late treatment failures to the current combination of AS+SP in P. falciparum malaria, the presently recommended ACT in national drug policy is fixed dose combination (FDC) of Artemetherlumefantrine (AL).^[27]

A study conducted in highly malaria-endemic Kolasib District, in Mizoram found that approximately onethird of the 540 fever patients interviewed sought initial treatment from public health facilities, whilst the majority either took self-treatment or purchased medicines from private pharmacies. Approximately 20% of patients sought treatment after 48 hrs of fever onset. ^[28] Another study conducted in rural parts of Rajasthan by Yadav et al ^[29] found that higher utilization of health facility was linked with the age of the child being younger (<24 months of age), mothers being primary educated or above and having moderate to high income. A study in Philippines revealed different types of treatment choices viz., public health institutions, private health practitioners, traditional healers and self-treatment. ^[30]

At present, Sri Lanka is the only country in South Asia which has almost accomplished the elimination of indigenous *P. falciparum* malaria by year 2012, elimination of indigenous *P. vivax* malaria by 2014, maintenance of a zero mortality of malaria cases and prevention of reintroduction of malaria into the country. ^[31]

V. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

There has been considerable decline in malarial morbidity in the state of Mizoram during 2009 onwards due to availability of prompt treatment and case management at the community level besides many preventive measures. Due to reduced mortality, tendency of complacency also cropped up in some of the relatively better off districts.

Poor follow-up of malaria cases among pregnant mothers and children need to be improved. Admission facilities for treatment of malaria may be strengthened at level. Opening of government PHC treatment facilities on Sunday during malaria peak season is recommended. Besides, pre-monsoon stocking of antimalaria drugs and IEC material in remote and inaccessible districts, improved surveillance, monitoring and evaluation, strengthening and retaining trained human resources are pre-requisite to meet the GOI Strategy of eliminating Malaria by 2030.

Conflict of Interest: Authors do not have any conflict of interest while conducting the study and preparing the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors are thankful to Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India for giving financial support for this study. Authors are also grateful to members of Technical Advisory Group for guiding during implementation of project.

REFERENCES

- 1. Malaria control today: current WHO recommendations. Geneva: RBM Department, World Health Organization, 2005.
- Armstrong Schellenberg JR, Nathan R, Abdulla S, Mukasa O, Marchant TJ, Tanner M, Lengeler C. Risk factors for child mortality in rural Tanzania. Trop Med Int Health 2002; 7: 506–11.
- Miguel CA, Manderson L, Lansang MA. Patterns of treatment for malaria in Tayabas, the Philippines: implications for control. Trop Med Int Health 1998, 3: 413–21.
- Muller O, Traore C, Becher H, Kouyate B. Malaria morbidity, treatment-seeking behaviour, and mortality in a cohort of young children in rural Burkina Faso. Trop Med Int Health 2003; 8: 290–6.
- Ahmed SM. Differing health and health seeking behaviour: ethnic minorities of the Chittagong hill tracts, Bangladesh. Asia Pacific J Public Health 2001; 13: 100–8
- Yadav SP, Sharma RC, Joshi V. Study of social determinants of malaria in desert parts of Rajasthan, India. J Vector Borne Dis 2005; 42(4): 141–6.
- 7. Nyamongo IK. Health care switching behaviour of malaria patients in a Kenyan rural community. SocSci Med 2002; 54: 377–86.
- Thera MA, D'Alessandro U, Thiero M, Ouedraogo A, Packou J, Souleymane OA, Fane M, Ade G, Alvez F, Doumbo O. Child malaria treatment practices among mothers in the districts of Yanfolila, Sikasso region, Mali. Trop Med Int Health 2000; 5: 876–81.
- Rubush TK, Kern MK, Campwell CC, Oloo AJ.Self treatment of malaria in a rural area of Western Kenya.Bull WHO 1995; 73: 229–36.
- 10. WHO: World Malaria Report 2013, Geneva: World Health Organization

- 11. WHO. World Malaria Report 2014.WHO, Geneva. 2014. Available at http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/ 144852/2/9789241564830_eng.pdf.
- 12. Malaria, Magnitude of the problem. National Vector Borne Disease Control Programme, Directorate General of Health Services, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India. http://www.nvbdcp.gov.in/malaria (accessed 25 June 2012).
- Ashwani Kumar, NeenaValecha, Tanu Jain, Aditya P. Dash. Burden of Malaria in India: Retrospective and Prospective View. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2007; 77(6_Suppl):69-78.
- 14. National Vector Borne Disease Control Programme, Malaria situation in India. Ministry of Health &Family Welfare. Available from:http://www.nvbdcp.gov.in/Doc/mal _situation_ July2014.pdf, accessed on August 20, 2014.
- Joshi H, Prajapati SK, Verma A, Kang S, Carlton JM. 5. *Plasmodium vivax* in India. *Trends Parasitol*2008; 24 : 228-35.
- 16. Malaria situation. National Vector Borne Disease control Programme. Available at http://nvbdcp.gov.in/Doc/mal_situation _Jan2015.pdf
- Dev V, 1. Bhattacharyya PC, Talukdar R. Transmission of malaria and its control in the Northeastern Region of India. J Assoc Physicians India 2003; 51 : 1073-6.
- Shah NK, Dhillon GPS, Dash AP, Arora U, Meshnick SR, 15.Valecha N. Antimalarial drug resistance of Plasmodium falciparum in India: Changes over time and space. Lancet Infect Dis 2011; 11: 57-64.
- 19. Department of Health and Family Welfare, Government of Mizoram (http://health.mizoram.gov.in/programm es/malaria accessed on 5/4/2017),
- Strategies Plan for Malaria Control in India, 2012-2017. A Five year Strategic Plan, Directorate of National Vector Borne Disease Control Programme, Directorate General of Health Services. Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Government of India,

http://nvbdcp.gov.in /Doc/Strategies-Action-Plan-Malaria-2012-17%20 pdf.

- 21. Round 9, India Country Proposal Intensified Malaria Control Project-II, to Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria (2009), http://nvbdcp.gov.in/Round-9/IMCP-II-Round-9-proposed.pdf.
- 22. State Vector Borne Disease Control Programme, NHM,Mizoram. Available at http://nhmmizoram.org (accessed 25 June 2015).
- 23. Yewhalaw D, Kassahun W, Woldemichael K, Tushune K, Sudaker M, et al. (2010) The influence of the Gilgel-Gibe hydroelectric dam in Ethiopia on caregivers' knowledge, perceptions and health-seeking behaviour towards childhood malaria. Malar J 9: 47.
- 24. Donnelly J (2011)How did Sierra Leone provide free health care? Lancet 377: 1393-1396.
- 25. Roll Back Malaria strategic framework for scaling up effective malaria case management. Available from:www.rbm.who.int/partnership/wg/ wgmanagement/docs/ framework.pdf. Accessed on March 1, 2004.

- 26. WHO: World Malaria Statistics 2013.
- 27. National drug policy on malaria (2013). Ministry of Health andFamily Welfare/Directorate of National Vector Borne Disease Control Programme, Govt. of India. http://www.nvbdcp.gov.in
- Lalchhuanawma R1, Murhekar MV. Health-seeking behaviour for febrile illness in malaria-endemic Kolasib district, Mizoram, India. International Health. Volume 4, Issue 4, December 2012, Pages 314–319.
- 29. S.P. Yadav. A study of treatment seeking behaviour for malaria and its management in febrile children in rural part of desert, Rajasthan, India. J Vector Borne Dis 47, December 2010, pp. 235– 242
- 30. Espino F, Manderson L. Treatment seeking for malaria in Morong, Bataan, the Philippines. Soc. Sci. Med 2000; 50: 1309–16..
- 31. Konradsen F, Amerasinghe PH, Perera D, Van der Hock, W, Amerasinghe FP. A village treatment centre for malaria: community response in Sri Lanka. Soc. Sci. Med 2000; 50: 879–89.

How to cite this article: Tiwari VK, Raj S, Gandotra R et al. Health system response in dealing malaria epidemic in a hilly state of India. Int J Health Sci Res. 2017; 7(8):1-12.
